Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



## International Journal of Pharmaceutics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijpharm

Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology

## Evaluation of the influence of ionization states and spacers in the thermotropic phase behaviour of amino acid-based cationic lipids and the transfection efficiency of their assemblies

Satya Ranjan Sarker<sup>a</sup>, Satoshi Arai<sup>b</sup>, Motohide Murate<sup>c</sup>, Hiroshi Takahashi<sup>d</sup>, Masaki Takata<sup>e</sup>, Toshihide Kobayashi<sup>c</sup>, Shinji Takeoka<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Life Science and Medical Bioscience, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Waseda University (TWIns), Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8480, Japan <sup>b</sup> Consolidated Research Institute for Advanced Science and Medical Care, Waseda University (ASMeW), Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 165-0041, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Lipid Biology Laboratory, RIKEN Advanced Science Institute, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Biophysics Laboratory, Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Gunma University, Maebashi, Gunma 371-8510, Japan

<sup>e</sup> Structural Materials Science Laboratory, RIKEN, SPring-8 Center, Sayo, Hyogo 679-5148, Japan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 July 2011 Received in revised form 4 October 2011 Accepted 23 October 2011 Available online 3 November 2011

Keywords: Ionization states Hydrocarbon chain spacer Cationic lipid Phase transition temperature Transfection efficiency

## ABSTRACT

The influence of both the ionization states and the hydrocarbon chain spacer of a series of amino acidbased cationic lipids was evaluated in terms of gene delivery efficiency and cytotoxicity to the COS-7 cell line and compared with that of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000. We synthesized a series of amino acid-based cationic lipids with different ionization states (i.e.,  $-NH_2$ ,  $-NH_3^+Cl^-$  or  $-NH_3^+TFA^-$ ) in the lysine head group and different hydrocarbon chain spacers (i.e., 0, 3, 5 or 7 carbon atoms) between the hydrophilic head group and hydrophobic moieties. In the 3-carbon series, the cationic assemblies formed a micellar structure in the presence of  $-NH_3^+Cl^-$  and a vesicular structure both in the presence of  $-NH_2$  and  $-NH_3^+TFA^-$ . Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) data revealed a significantly lower ( $8.1^\circ$ C) gelto-liquid crystalline phase transition temperature for cationic assemblies bearing  $-NH_3^+TFA^-$  when compared to their  $-NH_2$  counterparts. Furthermore, the zeta potential of cationic assemblies having  $-NH_3^+TFA^-$  in the hydrophilic head group was maximum followed by  $-NH_3^+Cl^-$  and  $-NH_2$  irrespective of their hydrocarbon chain spacer length. The gene delivery efficiency in relation to the ionization states of the hydrophilic head group was as follows:  $-NH_3^+TFA^- > -NH_3^+Cl^- > -NH_2$ .

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Delivery of foreign DNA to living cells is an invaluable technique for treating various complicated genetic disorders and has become an important aspect of nano-biomedical engineering research. However, the strategies available for introducing foreign DNA into living cells are limited because of their low transfection efficiencies and associated high level of cytotoxicity. The nonviral gene delivery vectors have many advantages over their viral counterparts, including the lack of specific immune response, relatively low cytotoxicity, ability to deliver large pieces of DNA, ease of handling and preparation techniques, and well defined physical and chemical compositions (Li and Huang, 2000, 2006; Ma et al., 2007; Mintzer and Simanek, 2009; Wiethoff et al., 2004). The principal disadvantages of nonviral gene delivery vectors are their relatively low transfection efficiency and short duration of gene expression (Li and Huang, 2000; Miller, 1998). To date, a range of nonviral gene delivery vectors such as liposomes (Chien et al., 2005; Felgner et al., 1987, 1994), micelles (Itaka et al., 2003; Vigneron et al., 1996), dendrimers (Haensler and Szoka, 1993; Kukowska-Latallo et al., 1996) and cationic polymers (Boussif et al., 1995; Wagner et al., 1990) have been investigated as potential carriers for plasmid DNA.

A detailed study on the structure-activity relationship of cationic lipids has been performed by many research groups (Karmali et al., 2004, 2006; Koynova and Tenchov, 2009). Important cationic lipids such as *N*-[1-(2,3-dioleyloxy)propyl]-*N*,*N*,*N*-trimethylammonium chloride (DOTMA) (Felgner et al., 1987), didecyldimethylammonium bromide (DDAB) (You et al., 1999), 1,2-dioleoyl-3-dimethylamonium propane (DODAP) (Jeffs et al., 2005), *N*-[1-(2,3-dioleoyloxy)propyl]-*N*,*N*,*N*-trimethylammonium methyl-sulphate (DOTAP) (Leventis and Silvius, 1990), 2,3-dioleyloxy-*N*-[2(sperminecarboxamido)ethyl]-*N*,*N*-dimethyl-L-propanaminium trifluoroacetate (DOSPA) or di-octadecyl-amido-glycyl-spermine (DOGS) (Behr et al., 1989) are

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Department of Life Science and Medical Bioscience, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Waseda University (TWIns), Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8480, Japan. Tel.: +81 3 5369 7324; fax: +81 3 5369 7324. *E-mail address*: takeoka@waseda.jp (S. Takeoka).

<sup>0378-5173/\$ –</sup> see front matter 0 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.10.044



1a:R= NH<sub>2</sub> 1b:R= NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup> salt

Scheme 1. Syntheses of the amino acid-based cationic lipids with different ionization states.

usually used to prepare cationic liposomes. The cationic liposomes offer positively charged interfaces for effective complexation with DNA to form lipoplexes via electrostatic interaction and protect DNA from digestion by intracellular DNases (Bhattacharya and Bajaj, 2009). The commercially available transfection reagent Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000 is a proprietary formulation of cationic lipids and a polymer. Cationic lipids comprise a cationic head group and a hydrophobic moiety such as hydrocarbon chains (Behr et al., 1989; Sen and Chaudhuri, 2005) or a cholesterol derivative (Bajaj et al., 2007b; Choi et al., 2001). Numerous reports have confirmed that the gene transfer efficiency of cationic liposomes is influenced by their molecular architecture, including the length of the hydrophobic alkyl chain (Felgner et al., 1994), nature of the head group (Heyes et al., 2002; Majeti et al., 2004; Obata et al., 2008) as well as the characteristics of the linker and spacer functionalities used to covalently tether the polar head group and nonpolar tail (Ghosh et al., 2002; Obata et al., 2009; Rajesh et al., 2007). Recently, it has been reported that the tocopherol based cationic lipid with three hydroxyl groups in its head group region has better transfection efficiency than the commercial formulation (i.e., Lipofect) (Kedika and Patri, 2011). Bhattacharya et al. reported the influence of spacers on the transfection efficiency of gemini cholesterol-based cationic amphiphiles and their serum compatibility (Bajaj et al., 2007a, 2008). We have also previously reported the influence of different spacers such as hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts between the cationic head group and hydrophobic moieties in cationic liposomes and confirmed that a suitable hydrocarbon chain spacer enhances the transfection efficiency of cationic assemblies by many fold (Obata et al., 2009). Specifically, the length of the spacer was shown to influence (i) the hydration level and organization of the corresponding liposomes prepared from cationic gemini amphiphiles, (ii) difference in lipid hydration level and (iii) DNA conformation upon formation of the complex (Luciani et al., 2007). It was also reported that the counterions influence cationic lipid-mediated (i.e., quaternary trimethylammonium cytofectin (DOTAP)) transfection of plasmid DNA (Aberle et al., 1996). However, until now, a detailed study has not been performed with regard to the morphology, size, zeta potential and phase transition temperature of these cationic assemblies.

Our data demonstrate that the ionization states of the cationic head group play a significant role in determining the properties of amino acid-based cationic assemblies such as size, zeta potential, overall morphology and phase transition temperature. In particular, the phase transition temperature of cationic assemblies determines their membrane fusion potential. This is because the higher the phase transition temperature the higher the membrane rigidity, which in turn lowers the membrane fusion potential and, consequently, the transfection efficiency. In this study, we designed and synthesized a series of amino acid-based cationic lipids with different ionization states (i.e., -NH<sub>2</sub>, -NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup> or -NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>TFA<sup>-</sup>) in the hydrophilic head group moiety and different hydrocarbon chain spacers (i.e., 0, 3, 5 or 7 carbon atoms) between the hydrophilic head group and the hydrophobic moiety to investigate the influence of ionization states of the cationic head group and hydrocarbon chain spacer on the transfection efficiency. Cationic assemblies prepared from the cationic lipids were characterized with regard to the size, morphology, zeta potential and phase transition temperature. The transfection efficiencies of these cationic assemblies were also evaluated using the COS-7 cell line both in the absence and presence of serum (10% FBS) and compared with that of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials

The following reagents were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan): 4-aminobutyric acid and 6-amino hexanoic acid. L-Glutamic acid, *p*-toluene sulfonic acid mono hydrate (*p*-Tos), benzotriazol-L-yloxytris (dimethylamino) phosphonium hexafluorophosphate (BOP) and tetradecyl alcohol were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). *N*,*N'*-Di-Boc-L-lysine hydroxysuccinimide ester (Boc-Lys(Boc)-OSu) and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate were purchased from Kokusan Chemical Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). 8-Aminocaprylic acid was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

#### 2.2. Syntheses

## 2.2.1. Syntheses of cationic lipids

We synthesized all the cationic lipids according to the protocol of Obata et al. (2008, 2009) with some modifications in the deprotection step as shown in Schemes 1 and 2 (detailed synthetic procedures are given in Supplementary information). Deprotection

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

Scheme 2. Syntheses of the amino acid-based cationic lipids with different ionization states and different hydrocarbon chain spacers.

with HCl (4M) in ethyl acetate followed by neutralization with saturated sodium carbonate solution gave **1a**, **2a**, **3a** and **4a**. Deprotection with HCl (4M) in ethyl acetate followed by removal of excess HCl under reduced pressure gave **1b**, **2b**, **3b** and **4b**. Deprotection with TFA at  $4^{\circ}$ C overnight followed by removal of excess TFA gave **2c** and **3c**.

## 2.3. Preparation of the cationic assemblies

The lyophilized lipid powder (10 mg) was hydrated in 1 mL HEPES buffer (20 mM, pH 7.4) for 12 h and extruded through a LIPLEX EXTRUDER (Northern Lipids Inc.; Vancouver, Canada) with membrane filters (Whatman polycarbonate membrane filters with pore sizes of 0.2 and 0.1  $\mu$ m) at 60 °C. The cationic assemblies were prepared from all the synthetic lipids. The lipid concentration of the cationic assemblies was determined by HPLC analysis (Meyer et al., 2000).

## 2.4. Microscopic observation of the cationic assemblies

The morphology of the cationic assemblies was analysed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The cationic assembling dispersion (5  $\mu$ L) was mixed with 1% (5  $\mu$ L) phosphotungstic acid (pH 7.4) in a microcentrifuge tube and incubated for 3 min. Then a drop of the sample dispersion was placed on a 150 mesh copper grid and excess dispersion was carefully removed with filter paper and examined under transmission electron microscope (JEM-1230, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Electron micrographs recorded on imaging plates were scanned and digitized by an FDL 5000 imaging system (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan).

#### 2.5. Preparation of lipoplexes

The cationic assemblies  $(0.6-6 \,\mu g \text{ lipid})$  were mixed with 0.2  $\mu g \, p\text{GL}4.75$  [hRluc/CMV] plasmid vector (4281 bp; Promega, Madison, WI) encoding Renilla luciferase. The mixed solutions were gently agitated and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. The solutions were then diluted with an appropriate

volume of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with or without fetal bovine serum (10% FBS) to analyse the gene transfer efficiency. Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used as the gold standard for gene transfer studies according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

# 2.6. Measurement of size distribution and zeta potential of the cationic assemblies and the lipoplexes

A dispersion of the cationic assemblies  $(5 \,\mu L)$  containing 10 mg/mL lipid or a dispersion of lipoplexes  $(0.6-6 \,\mu g \,\text{lipid}$  and 0.2  $\mu g \,\text{pDNA}$ ) were diluted with 20 mM HEPES buffer (1 mL, pH 7.4). The mean particle diameter was then measured with a dynamic light scattering spectrophotometer (N4 PLUS Submicron Particle Size Analyzer, Beckman-Coulter Inc.; Fullerton, FL). The data represents an average diameter and standard deviation (SD) from measurements made in triplicate.

The zeta potential of cationic assemblies and lipoplexes were measured with a Zetasizer (Zetasizer 4; Malvern, UK). The liposome (0.1 mg/mL lipid) and the lipoplex (0.6–6  $\mu$ g lipid and 0.2  $\mu$ g pDNA) dispersions in 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) were loaded in a capillary cell mounted on the apparatus and measured five times at 37 °C. The data represents an average zeta potential and standard deviation (SD) from measurements made in triplicate.

#### 2.7. Calorimetric analysis of the cationic assemblies

The gel-to-liquid crystalline phase transition temperature ( $T_c$ ) of the cationic assemblies was investigated by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The dispersion of cationic assemblies (20 µL, 10 mg/mL) was added to a silver pan and sealed. A reference pan was mounted with 20 µL of 20 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4). Both the pans are then placed in a DSC cell compartment. The measurement was started from 0 °C and the temperature was raised at a rate of 2 °C/min up to 80 °C. The phase transition temperature was estimated from the DSC curve.

#### 2.8. Gel retardation assay of the lipoplexes

The lipoplexes prepared from different ratios of lipid  $(0.6-6 \mu g)$  to plasmid DNA  $(0.2 \mu g)$  were loaded onto a 1% seaplaque GTG agarose gel (Takara Bio. Inc., Otsu, Japan) containing ethidium bromide (EtBr). The gel was run at 90 V for 30 min and visualized on a UV transilluminator.

#### 2.9. Transfection of cells

COS-7 cells (transformed African green monkey kidney fibroblast cells) were utilized for evaluating the gene expression efficiencies of various cationic assemblies. The cells were seeded into a 96 well plate at a density of  $1 \times 10^4$  cells/well and cultured with DMEM containing 10% FBS in an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C overnight. The medium in each well of the cell culture dish was exchanged with lipoplexes (100 µL) containing varying amounts of cationic assemblies  $(0.6-6 \mu g)$  and  $0.2 \mu g$  of plasmid DNA both in the absence and presence of serum (i.e., 10% FBS). After incubation under the same conditions for 24 h, the cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS solution and lysed using lysis buffer provided with a luciferase assay kit (Promega, Madison, WI). The luciferase activity of 10 µL aliquot of the cell lysate was measured using a Microplate Luminometer (Promega, Madison, WI). The protein concentration of the cell lysate was determined by a standard protein assay (Bio-Rad Protein Assay, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) protocol. The luciferase activity in each sample was normalized to the relative light unit (RLU) per microgram of protein. The data represent the average gene expression efficiency and a standard deviation (SD) value from independent measurements performed in triplicate. Furthermore, the gene expression efficiency of the lipoplexes was compared with that of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000 (Invitrogen).

#### 2.10. Toxicity of the cationic assemblies

The cytotoxicity of the cationic assemblies with different ionization states and hydrocarbon chain spacers was investigated in COS-7 cells. The cells were seeded into a 96 well plate at a density of  $1 \times 10^4$  cells/well and cultured overnight in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS in an atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C. The cell culture medium was exchanged with complete DMEM (100 µL) containing varying concentrations of liposomes. After incubation for 24 h, the old medium was exchanged with fresh DMEM (110 µL) containing tetrazolium salt (WST-1) and further incubated for 30 min. Finally, the absorbance of formazan produced by succinate tetrazoliumreductase in living cells was measured at 440 nm using a microplate reader (Perkin Elmer Japan Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Syntheses of the amino acid-based cationic lipids

We synthesized a series of amino acid-based cationic lipids with different ionization states ( $-NH_2$ ,  $-NH_3^+Cl^-$ , or  $-NH_3^+TFA^-$ ) and a hydrocarbon chain spacer containing 0, 3, 5 or 7 carbon atoms. All the synthetic cationic lipids comprised 1,5ditetradecyl-L-glutamate as the hydrophobic moiety. To introduce the hydrocarbon chain spacer, aminoalkanoic acid derivatives with different carbon numbers were conjugated with 1,5-ditetradecyl-L-glutamate *via* an amide linkage as shown in Scheme 2. Then a protected lysine moiety was introduced as a cationic head group. We obtained **1a**, **2a**, **3a** and **4a** lipids by deprotection with HCl (4M) in EtOAc followed by neutralization and lipids **1b**, **2b**, **3b** and **4b** by deprotection with HCl (4M) in EtOAc followed by removal of excess HCl under reduced pressure. Cationic lipids **2c** and **3c** were prepared by deprotection with TFA followed by removal of excess

#### Table 1

Size, zeta potential and phase transition temperature of the cationic assemblies. Values shown are the averages obtained from 3 (size), 5 (zeta potential) and 3 (DSC) measurements.

Liposome	Size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV)	$T_c$ (°C)
1a	$\begin{array}{c} 191\pm50\\ 76\pm36\end{array}$	ND	ND
1b		+20±1	41.0
2a	$\begin{array}{c} 88 \pm 37 \\ 20 \pm 9 \\ 91 \pm 41 \end{array}$	$+33 \pm 1$	32.6
2b		+41 ± 6	-
2c		+60 ± 6	24.5
3a	$\begin{array}{c} 104 \pm 30 \\ 70 \pm 27 \\ 104 \pm 22 \end{array}$	$+29 \pm 1$	36.1
3b		+41 $\pm 3$	32.3
3c		+44 $\pm 4$	27.0
4a	ND	ND	37.4
4b	ND	ND	-

TFA under reduced pressure. All the synthetic compounds, including intermediates as shown in Schemes 1 and 2, were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, <sup>13</sup>C NMR, FT-IR (**2a–2c** as representative), X-ray photoelectric spectroscopy (**2a–2c** as representative), and ESI-MS (all the data are provided in supplementary information).

#### 3.2. Cationic assembling structures

The cationic assemblies from all synthetic amino acid-based cationic lipids were prepared by an extrusion method. We found that the size, morphology and zeta potential of the cationic assemblies were influenced by the difference in the ionization states and the hydrocarbon chain spacer length (Table 1). The size of assemblies prepared from 2b was only 20 nm and formed a micellar structure, which was supported by the TEM images (Fig. 1b). We speculate that the smaller size is due to the higher hydrophilicity when compared to 2a and 2c. The bigger the hydrophilic moiety, the larger the volume of the hydrophilic part that readily forms a micellar structure. However, the highest zeta potential (+60 mV) for **2c** is due to the elevated protonation ratio and the relatively large size of the TFA counterion when compared to its HCl counterpart. The cationic assemblies prepared from 2c and 3b-3c form highly stable vesicular structures (Fig. 1c-e). However, the cationic assemblies prepared from 1a and 1b have a tendency to aggregate (Fig. 1a) due to the lack of a proper balance between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties. The cationic assemblies prepared from **4a** and **4b** form either tube-like or rod-like structures (Fig. 1f-g) rather than vesicular structures.

#### 3.3. Physicochemical characterization of lipoplexes

The size and zeta potential of the lipoplexes composed of **2b–2c** and **3b–3c** were determined (Table 2a–d). The size of the lipoplexes composed of **2c** and pDNA increased with the increasing ratios of lipid-to-pDNA from 3/1 to 5/1. However, any further increase in the lipid-to-pDNA ratio resulted in a decrease in the size of the lipoplexes. The 91 nm unilamellar vesicles of **2c** formed 281 nm lipoplexes at a lipid-to-pDNA ratio of 10/1. The zeta potential of the lipoplexes composed of **2c** increased sharply as the lipid-to-pDNA ratio increased from 3/1 to 5/1. However, a further increase in the lipid-to-pDNA ratio from 5/1 to 30/1 resulted in only a gradual rise of zeta potential up to +53 mV. A similar trend involving a sudden increase in size and zeta potential was also observed for lipoplexes composed of **3c** at a lipid-to-pDNA ratio of 5/1. Further study is required to characterize the lipoplexes in the presence of serum.

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

Fig. 1. Transmission electron microscopic images of the cationic assemblies constructed with (a) 1b, (b) 2b, (c) 2c, (d) 3b, (e) 3c, (f) 4a and (g) 4b. The cationic assemblies were stained with 1% phosphotungstic acid. The scale bar indicates 100 nm.

#### 3.4. Thermotropic phase behaviour of the cationic assemblies

The DSC measurement showed that the phase transition temperature of **2a** and **2c** were 32.6 and 24.5 °C, respectively (Table 1). However, there was no phase transition temperature detected for 2b, which supports its micellar structure determined from DLS and TEM. The phase transition temperatures of 3a, 3b and 3c were 36.1, 32.3 and 27 °C, respectively. Our results showed that the gel-to-liquid crystalline phase transition temperature  $(T_c)$  of the cationic assemblies was influenced by the ionization states of the hydrophilic moiety of the cationic lipids. Cationic assemblies with HCl-salt and TFA-salt showed phase transition temperatures lower than their neutral (i.e., NH<sub>2</sub>) counterpart within the same hydrocarbon chain spacer series. The difference in phase transition temperature between 2a and 2c was 8.1 °C, which explains the superior transfection efficiency of 2c. However, no significant difference was observed in the phase transition temperature of cationic assemblies through changing the hydrocarbon chain spacer length. The detailed thermal transition parameters (i.e., enthalpy and entropy) of the cationic assemblies (2a-3c as representative) have been determined (data are provided in supplementary information (Table S1)).

#### 3.5. Lipid/DNA binding interactions

The representative electrostatic binding interaction between cationic assemblies (**2b**–**2c** and **3b**–**3c**) and pDNA at varying lipid-to-pDNA charge ratios was measured using conventional gel

retardation assay (Fig. 2a–d). All the representative cationic assemblies were capable of completely inhibiting the electrophoretic mobility of pDNA from lipoplexes at a lipid-to-pDNA charge ratio of 10/1. At a lipid-to-pDNA charge ratio of 5/1, all the cationic assemblies exhibited moderately strong pDNA binding properties. However, at a lipid-to-pDNA charge ratio of 3/1, all the cationic assemblies showed poor pDNA binding affinity.

#### 3.6. Transfection efficiency of the cationic assemblies

The reporter gene, luc, expression assay was used for evaluating the in vitro gene delivery efficiencies of 1a-1b, 2a-2c, 3a-3c and **4a–4b** cationic assemblies in the COS-7 cell line (Fig. 3a–d) using pGL4.75[hRluc/CMV] plasmid vector encoding the enzyme luciferase. Lipoplexes composed of each cationic assembly and pDNA with ratios of 3/1 to 30/1 were transfected to the COS-7 cell line. The concentration of pDNA was kept constant at  $2 \mu g/mL$ . In the 3-carbon series, **2c** showed the highest transfection efficiency followed by **2b** and **2a**. All three synthetic lipids showed relatively higher transfection efficiency than Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000. The highest gene expression efficiency was observed at a 2c-to-pDNA ratio of 10/1, which was 4-fold greater than that of Lipofectamine  $^{TM}$ 2000 in the absence of 10% FBS. The transfection efficiency of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000 reduced 4-fold in the presence of 10% FBS. Therefore, 2c showed 9-fold higher transfection efficiency when compared to Lipofectamine<sup>™</sup> 2000 in the presence of 10% FBS.

In the C5 series, **3c** showed a maximum transfection efficiency followed by **3b** and **3a**. All the cationic assemblies (**3a–3c**) showed

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 2. Gel retardation assay of the lipoplexes constructed with the cationic assemblies and pDNA with various lipid-to-pDNA ratios (w/w). (a) 2b, (b) 2c, (c) 3b and (d) 3c.

higher transfection efficiency than Lipofectamine<sup>™</sup> 2000 both in the absence and presence of 10% FBS at a lipid-to pDNA ratio of 10/1. The gene expression efficiency of **3c** was 2-fold higher in the absence of serum and 3-fold higher in the presence of serum (10% FBS) when compared to that of Lipofectamine<sup>™</sup> 2000. There was no transfection efficiency observed for **1a**–**1b**, which are highly unstable and tend to aggregate into bigger particles. Likewise, **4a** and **4b** did not show any transfection efficiency due to their respective tube-like and rod-like structures.

### 3.7. Cytotoxicity

The cytotoxicity of cationic assemblies (**2b**–**2c** and **3b**–**3c**) was investigated up to 1500  $\mu$ g/mL of the respective synthetic lipids (Fig. 4a and b). The results were expressed as a percentage of cell viability with respect to a control corresponding to untreated cells. All the cationic assemblies showed significantly lower cytotoxicity in the presence of 10% FBS when compared to Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000. For example, more than half of the cells seeded into a culture dish survived even in the presence of 1 mg/mL of synthetic lipids.

### 4. Discussion

# 4.1. Influence of ionization states on the morphology of the cationic assemblies

Numerous studies have described the structure-activity relationship of amino acid-based cationic lipids. Specifically, several reports have investigated the influence of different spacers between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties and different counterions in the polar head group in terms of gene delivery efficiency (Aberle et al., 1996; Bajaj et al., 2007a, 2008; Luciani et al., 2007; Obata et al., 2009). In the current study, we demonstrate that, in addition to the hydrocarbon chain spacer length, the nature of ionization states of the cationic head group plays a significant role in modulating gene delivery efficiencies of cationic lipids. Furthermore, the ionization states of the cationic head group influence morphology, zeta potential and phase transition temperature of the cationic assemblies.

We have synthesized a series of cationic lipids with different ionization states in the cationic head group (i.e., lysine) and different hydrocarbon chain spacer length (0, 3, 5 and 7 carbon atoms) whilst keeping the length of the hydrophobic moiety fixed at 14 carbons. The ionization states of the lysine hydrophilic moiety as the -NH<sub>2</sub>, -NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup> and -NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>TFA<sup>-</sup> form were controlled using different deprotection procedures. Cationic assemblies prepared from **2b** (size: 20 nm) formed a micellar structure (Fig. 1b) because of the presence of -NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup> in the hydrophilic head group despite having the same hydrophobic moiety and hydrocarbon chain spacer length as 2c (size: 91 nm) that formed a multilamellar vesicular structure (Fig. 1c). Moreover, 4a and 4b formed either tube-like or rod-like structures (Fig. 1f-g) due to the presence of a larger hydrocarbon chain spacer (i.e., 7 carbon atoms) that resulted in the imbalance between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties. The cationic assemblies prepared from 1a and 1b have a tendency to aggregate due to the lack of a proper balance between the hydrophilic and hydrophobic moieties. Herein, we confirmed that the synthetic amino acid-based cationic lipids with different ionization states and different hydrocarbon chain spacers formed various assembling structures like micellar, vesicular, and rod-like shapes. Indeed,

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Fig. 3.** Transfection efficiencies of the amino acid-based cationic assemblies 2a-2c and 3a-3c were compared with that of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000 in COS-7 cell line in the absence of serum (a) and (b) and in the presence of 10% FBS (c) and (d). Units of luciferase activity were plotted against the various lipid-to-pDNA ratios (w/w). The data presented are the average values of three independent experiments performed on three different days (n=5).

previous reports suggest that assemblies formed from amino acidbased lipids can adopt various different structures such as vesicles, tubes, fibers or ribbons as a result of slight structural variations (Obata et al., 2008; Shimizu, 2003; Yamada et al., 1998). Moreover, the multilamellar structure of **2c** was confirmed by small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) analysis (Supplementary information (Fig. S23 and Table S2)). Interestingly, without performing any chemical modification to the lipid scaffold, the change of ionization states can control the morphology of the cationic assembling structure.

![](_page_6_Figure_6.jpeg)

**Fig. 4.** Cytotoxicity of the amino acid-based cationic assemblies with different ionization states and hydrocarbon chain spacer was compared with that of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000. (a) **2b** and **2c**; (b) **3b** and **3c**. The cationic assemblies were added to COS-7 cells in the presence of 10% FBS and incubated for 24 h. Cytotoxicity was estimated through WST-1 assay. The data presented are the average values of three independent experiments performed on three different days (n = 5).

#### Table 2

Size distribution and zeta potential of the lipoplexes constructed with (a) **2b**, (b) **2c**, (c) **3b** and (d) **3c**. Values shown are the averages obtained from 3 (size) and 5 (zeta potential) measurements.

Ratio (2b/pDNA)	Size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ )
(a)		
3/1	$194\pm39$	$-33 \pm 2$
5/1	$3550\pm255$	$2 \pm 1$
10/1	$385\pm90$	$32 \pm 1$
15/1	$152\pm24$	$39 \pm 3$
20/1	$134\pm26$	$42 \pm 4$
30/1	$114\pm19$	$43\pm3$
Ratio (2c/pDNA)	Size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ )
(b)		
3/1	$219 \pm 22$	$-25 \pm 1$
5/1	$2600\pm256$	$12 \pm 1$
10/1	$281 \pm 90$	$42 \pm 2$
15/1	$245\pm71$	$49 \pm 2$
20/1	$188 \pm 45$	$53 \pm 3$
30/1	$162\pm21$	$52\pm2$
Ratio (3b/pDNA)	Size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ )
Ratio (3b/pDNA)	Size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ )
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1	Size (nm) 325 ± 71	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1	Size (nm) 325 ± 71 4220 ± 298	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2 23 ± 1
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) $-1 \pm 2$ $23 \pm 1$ $36 \pm 3$
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) $-1 \pm 2$ $23 \pm 1$ $36 \pm 3$ $39 \pm 6$
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) $-1 \pm 2$ $23 \pm 1$ $36 \pm 3$ $39 \pm 6$ $42 \pm 3$
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) $-1 \pm 2$ $23 \pm 1$ $36 \pm 3$ $39 \pm 6$ $42 \pm 3$ $41 \pm 4$
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1 Ratio (3c/pDNA)	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$ Size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) $-1 \pm 2$ $23 \pm 1$ $36 \pm 3$ $39 \pm 6$ $42 \pm 3$ $41 \pm 4$ Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ )
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1 Ratio (3c/pDNA) (d)	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$ Size (nm)	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2 23 ± 1 36 ± 3 39 ± 6 42 ± 3 41 ± 4 Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ )
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1 Ratio (3c/pDNA) (d) 3/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$ Size (nm) $176 \pm 22$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2 23 ± 1 36 ± 3 39 ± 6 42 ± 3 41 ± 4 Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -25 ± 2
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1 Ratio (3c/pDNA) (d) 3/1 5/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$ Size (nm) $176 \pm 22$ $2570 \pm 256$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2 23 ± 1 36 ± 3 39 ± 6 42 ± 3 41 ± 4 Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -25 ± 2 -1 ± 2
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1 Ratio (3c/pDNA) (d) 3/1 5/1 10/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$ Size (nm) $176 \pm 22$ $2570 \pm 256$ $580 \pm 90$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2 23 ± 1 36 ± 3 39 ± 6 42 ± 3 41 ± 4 Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -25 ± 2 -1 ± 2 26 ± 4
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1 Ratio (3c/pDNA) (d) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$ Size (nm) $176 \pm 22$ $2570 \pm 256$ $580 \pm 90$ $378 \pm 71$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2 23 ± 1 36 ± 3 39 ± 6 42 ± 3 41 ± 4 Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -25 ± 2 -1 ± 2 26 ± 4 42 ± 7
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1 Ratio (3c/pDNA) (d) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$ Size (nm) $176 \pm 22$ $2570 \pm 256$ $580 \pm 90$ $378 \pm 71$ $341 \pm 45$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2 23 ± 1 36 ± 3 39 ± 6 42 ± 3 41 ± 4 Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -25 ± 2 -1 ± 2 26 ± 4 42 ± 7 44 ± 3
Ratio (3b/pDNA) (c) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1 Ratio (3c/pDNA) (d) 3/1 5/1 10/1 15/1 20/1 30/1	Size (nm) $325 \pm 71$ $4220 \pm 298$ $414 \pm 138$ $198 \pm 56$ $177 \pm 42$ $134 \pm 29$ Size (nm) $176 \pm 22$ $2570 \pm 256$ $580 \pm 90$ $378 \pm 71$ $341 \pm 45$ $181 \pm 21$	Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -1 ± 2 23 ± 1 36 ± 3 39 ± 6 42 ± 3 41 ± 4 Zeta potential (mV, $\zeta$ ) -25 ± 2 -1 ± 2 26 ± 4 42 ± 7 44 ± 3 43 ± 2

# 4.2. Influence of ionization states on the lipoplex properties and plasmid DNA binding affinity to the cationic assemblies

Gel retardation assay was performed to confirm the ability of the cationic assemblies (**2b–2c** and **3b–3c** as representative) to form lipoplexes with pDNA. When the lipid-to-pDNA ratio was more than 3/1, no free pDNA was observed for **2c**, **3b** and **3c** (Fig. 2b–d). By contrast, an additional amount of lipid was required to form the lipoplexes for **2b** (i.e., lipid-to-pDNA ratio >5/1) (Fig. 2a) due to its micellar structure as determined from TEM images, DLS and DSC data.

The particle size and zeta potential of lipoplexes have been investigated in detail because they have revealed to be strongly related with the lipoplex ability to influence gene delivery (Almofti et al., 2003; Cardoso et al., 2011; Rao and Gopal, 2006). The maximum transfection efficiency for 2a-2c and 3a-3c was obtained at a lipid-to-pDNA ratio of 10/1 (Fig. 3a-d). The size and zeta potential of lipoplexes exhibiting the highest gene expression efficiency at a lipid-to-pDNA ratio of 10/1 were 281 nm and +42 mV, respectively, for 2c, and 580 nm and +26 mV, respectively, for 3c. Importantly, higher zeta potentials were found for 2c/pDNA complexes in comparison to those for 2b/pDNA complexes across the entire lipid-to-pDNA ratios of 3/1 to 30/1 (Table 2a and b). This is presumably because of the less hydrated head group of 2c liposomes, which reduces the shielding of the positive charges (Rajesh et al., 2007). All the lipoplexes formed at a lipid-to-pDNA ratio of 5/1 showed the largest hydrodynamic size due to the lowest zeta potential when compared to the other lipid-to-pDNA charge (+/-)ratios which resulted in the aggregation of lipoplex particles and,

in turn, low cellular uptake. Moreover, the lipoplexes formed at the higher lipid-to-pDNA ratios (i.e.,  $\geq 10/1$ ) showed lower transfection efficiency due to a higher N/P ratio. Previous studies have shown that the higher N/P ratio resulted in a lower transfection efficiency and higher cytotoxicity of the carriers (Zhao et al., 2009). On the contrary, our data demonstrate that a higher N/P ratio does not contribute to the higher cytotoxicity of the gene delivery vehicle. However, the maximum gene delivery efficiency was found at an optimum N/P ratio. Furthermore, the efficiency of lipoplexes to enter the cell by endocytic pathways (Khalil et al., 2006), namely clathrin- and caveolin-mediated endocytosis, which are involved in the formation of coated vesicles and flask-shaped invaginations, respectively, has been shown to largely depend on particle size (Hoekstra et al., 2007). The zeta potential of the lipoplexes is also reported to be a critical factor for lipoplex stability and interaction with the cell surface as well as preventing interaction with serum proteins and resistance to nuclease degradation in vivo (Rao and Gopal, 2006; Simoes et al., 2005). All the complexes prepared at a lipid-to-pDNA ratio of 3/1 showed a negative zeta potential, thereby explaining their low transfection efficiency. The highly transfection efficient complexes showed a positive zeta potential that facilitates interaction with the negatively charged cell surface.

## 4.3. Relationship between the lipid structure and phase transition temperature

The gene expression efficiency of a lipoplex is generally known to depend on the phase transition temperature  $(T_c)$  of the cationic assemblies (Radler et al., 1997; Zantl et al., 1999). T<sub>c</sub> and membrane fluidity are inversely proportional (Obata et al., 2008). Thus, membrane rigidity increases with increasing phase transition temperature. The higher membrane fusion potential was confirmed with the cationic assemblies having the lower phase transition temperature (Rajesh et al., 2007). Lipid membranes with high fluidity tend to promote fusion with a biological membrane leading to elevated gene expression efficiency (Obata et al., 2008; Radler et al., 1997; Zantl et al., 1999). Our data demonstrated that the phase transition temperature of **2c** (24.5 °C) was lower than that of **2a** (32.6 °C). Therefore, we speculated that **2c** would have the highest membrane fusion potential leading to the highest cellular uptake of pDNA into the COS-7 cell line. We assume that the lower phase transition temperature of 2c cationic assemblies originates from the reduced hydration of the head group. This arises from the presence of the -NH3+TFA- form as the ionization state when compared to  $-NH_2$  form in **2a**. The  $-NH_3^+TFA^-$  form moiety stabilizes the water structure and favours smaller lipid head group volumes. It was reported that the smaller lipid head group leads, in particular, to a preference for  $H_{II}$  phase relative to  $L_{\alpha}$  and to a decrease of the  $L_{\alpha}$ -to- $H_{II}$  transition temperature (Koynova et al., 1989). However, the -NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>Cl<sup>-</sup> form stimulates interfacial hydration resulting in the larger head group volume and vice versa (Koynova et al., 1989). The larger head group volume might also shield the significant coulomb repulsion among the positively charged head groups, thereby imparting increased rigidity to the liposomal membrane through more compact packing of the amphiphiles in the liposomal aggregates (Rajesh et al., 2007). Moreover, the phase transition temperature of **3b** and **3c** was 32.3 and 27.0 °C, respectively, and the transfection efficiency of **3c** was slightly higher than **3b** at a lipid/pDNA ratio of 10/1. Therefore, the C5 series also demonstrate the influence of ionization states on transfection efficiency.

Previous studies have shown that spacers significantly influence the phase transition temperature of cationic gemini amphiphiles (Bhattacharya and Bajaj, 2007; Bhattacharya and De, 1999). However, our data did not demonstrate any significant influence of spacer length on the phase transition temperature of cationic assemblies (Table 1). We speculate that this difference in the influence of spacers on the phase transition temperature is due to the structural difference of our amino-acid based cationic assemblies with the cationic gemini amphiphiles. In the amino acid-based cationic assemblies, hydrocarbon chain spacers are present between the hydrophilic lysine head group and the hydrophobic moiety. In this case, the orientation of the spacer is vertical with respect to the membrane. Hence, the spacers strongly influence the location of the cationic head group but not the molecular packing of cationic assemblies. By contrast, the spacers in cationic gemini amphiphiles act as a cross linker between the cationic head groups of two lipid molecules (monomers). The spacers, therefore, influence the lateral molecular packing in the membrane structure. Because of differences in the lipid conformation, the role of the spacer in molecular packing of cationic assemblies is likely to vary.

#### 4.4. Transfection efficiency and cytotoxicity of cationic assemblies

The gene delivery efficiency of **2c** was found to be significantly higher than that of **2a** and **2b** (Fig. 3a) whereas, **3c** was found to be slightly superior to that of **3a** and **3b** (Fig. 3b) in the absence of serum. Vesicular structures have higher transfection efficiencies than that of micellar structures, which can be explained in terms of the packing factor *P* (Wang et al., 2007). When considering the binding to the negatively charged DNA, the value of *P* for a vesicle system (*P* > 0.5) is larger than that for a micelle system (*P* < 0.5). Thus, it is easier for a vesicle system to form nonlamellar structures, such as inverted hexagonal H<sub>II</sub> or cubic phases, than a micelle system. These phases of low curvature have proven to be a controlling factor in lipid mediated DNA delivery due to the ease of DNA release when the lipoplexes interact with anionic lipids (Koynova et al., 2005).

The overall transfection efficiency of cationic lipids is severely compromised in the presence of serum proteins, which has been a major barrier to the clinical application of gene therapy (Bailey and Cullis, 1997). A previous report suggested that high density lipoprotein in serum can replace the phospholipid molecules of the liposomal membrane (Allen and Cleland, 1980) resulting in lower fusogenic ability to the cell membrane in the presence of FBS. Our results show that the transfection efficiency of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000, which contains DOPE, is reduced 4-fold in the presence of serum (10% FBS) and it was 9-fold and 4-fold less when compared to **2c** and **3c** (Fig. 3c–d), respectively.

The cytotoxicity of the amino acid-based cationic assemblies was investigated using the COS-7 cell line and compared with that of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000. Just over 40% of cells were found to be viable in the presence of each cationic assembly even at a concentration of 1.5 mg/mL (Fig. 4a and b). By contrast, all of the cells were dead in the presence of a much lower concentration (0.6 mg/mL) of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000. The high toxicity of Lipofectamine<sup>TM</sup> 2000 might be related to the presence of multivalent cationic compounds such as DOGS or DOSPA. After releasing plasmid DNA, these multivalent cationic compounds form aggregates with the cellular organelles leading to cellular death (Obata et al., 2008). However, amino acid-based cationic lipids are easily dissociated and metabolised. The ester bond in the linker region of the amino acid-based cationic lipids is readily hydrolysed in the cell, thereby lowering the level of cytotoxicity (Leventis and Silvius, 1990). The slightly higher cytotoxicity of **2b** over **2c** could be due to the higher hydrophilicity of the former (Eliyahu et al., 2005).

#### 5. Conclusions

We have synthesized a series of cationic lipids with different ionization states in the hydrophilic head group and different hydrocarbon chain spacers between the hydrophilic head group and the hydrophobic moiety. The morphology of the cationic assemblies was influenced by both the ionization state of the head group and the length of the hydrocarbon chain spacer unit. Furthermore, the phase transition temperature of the cationic assemblies was influenced by the ionization state of the cationic head group, which, in turn, affected the corresponding transfection efficiency. Of all the synthetic cationic lipids, 2c showed the highest transfection efficiency with very low associated cytotoxicity. Our data clearly show that the gene expression efficiency of cationic lipids is significantly influenced by the ionization state of the cationic head group. More specifically, the gene delivery efficiency in relation to ionization states of the hydrophilic head group is as follows:  $-NH_3^+TFA^- > -NH_3^+Cl^- > -NH_2$ . Taken together, the present findings reveal that the difference in the ionization states of the hydrophilic head group and in the hydrocarbon chain spacer length profoundly influences gene delivery efficiency of cationic assemblies. The strikingly high transfection efficiency and low cytotoxicity, even in the presence of serum, make our amino acid-based assemblies suitable for further investigation in vivo.

## Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank Peter Greimel, Takuma Kishimoto, Nario Tomishige, Takaaki Hikima, RIKEN Spring-8 Centre, Harima, Japan, for performing Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS) analysis of cationic lipid. Authors would also like to thank Dr. Keitaro Sou, Waseda University, for valuable discussion regarding data analysis and manuscript preparation. This work was partially supported by the GCOE "Practical chemical wisdom" and "High-Tech Research Centre" project for Waseda University: matching fund subsidy from MEXT, Japan.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.10.044.

#### References

- Aberle, A.M., Bennett, M.J., Malone, R.W., Nantz, M.H., 1996. The counterion influence on cationic lipid-mediated transfection of plasmid DNA. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1299, 281–283.
- Allen, T.M., Cleland, L.G., 1980. Serum-induced leakage of liposome contents. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 597, 418–426.
- Almofti, M.R., Harashima, H., Shinohara, Y., Almofti, A., Li, W., Kiwada, H., 2003. Lipoplex size determines lipofection efficiency with or without serum. Mol. Membr. Biol. 20, 35–43.
- Bailey, A.L., Cullis, P.R., 1997. Membrane fusion with cationic liposomes: effects of target membrane lipid composition. Biochemistry 36, 1628–1634.
- Bajaj, A., Kondaiah, P., Bhattacharya, S., 2008. Effect of the nature of the spacer on gene transfer efficacies of novel thiocholesterol derived gemini lipids in different cell lines: a structure-activity investigation. J. Med. Chem. 51, 2533–2540.
- Bajaj, A., Kondiah, P., Bhattacharya, S., 2007a. Design, synthesis, and in vitro gene delivery efficacies of novel cholesterol-based gemini cationic lipids and their serum compatibility: a structure-activity investigation. J. Med. Chem. 50, 2432–2442.
- Bajaj, A., Paul, B., Indi, S.S., Kondaiah, P., Bhattacharya, S., 2007b. Effect of the hydrocarbon chain and polymethylene spacer lengths on gene transfection efficacies of gemini lipids based on aromatic backbone. Bioconjug. Chem. 18, 2144–2158.
- Behr, J.P., Demeneix, B., Loeffler, J.P., Perez-Mutul, J., 1989. Efficient gene transfer into mammalian primary endocrine cells with lipopolyamine-coated DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 86, 6982–6986.
- Bhattacharya, S., Bajaj, A., 2007. Membrane-forming properties of gemini lipids possessing aromatic backbone between the hydrocarbon chains and the cationic headgroup. J. Phys. Chem. B 111, 13511–13519.
- Bhattacharya, S., Bajaj, A., 2009. Advances in gene delivery through molecular design of cationic lipids. Chem. Commun., 4632–4656.
- Bhattacharya, S., De, S., 1999. Vesicle formation from dimeric ion-paired amphiphiles. Control over vesicular thermotropic and ion-transport properties as a function of intra-amphiphilic headgroup separation. Langmuir 15, 3400–3410.
- Boussif, O., Lezoualc'h, F., Zanta, M.A., Mergny, M.D., Scherman, D., Demeneix, B., Behr, J.P., 1995. A versatile vector for gene and oligonucleotide transfer into

cells in culture and in vivo polyethylenimine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 92, 7297-7301.

- Cardoso, A.M., Faneca, H., Almeida, J.A., Pais, A.A., Marques, E.F., de Lima, M.C., Jurado, A.S., 2011. Gemini surfactant dimethylene-1,2bis(tetradecyldimethylammonium bromide)-based gene vectors: a biophysical approach to transfection efficiency. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1808, 341–351.
- Chien, P.Y., Wang, J., Carbonaro, D., Lei, S., Miller, B., Sheikh, S., Ali, S.M., Ahmad, M.U., Ahmad, I., 2005. Novel cationic cardiolipin analogue-based liposome for efficient DNA and small interfering RNA delivery in vitro and in vivo. Cancer Gene Ther. 12, 321–328.
- Choi, J.S., Lee, E.J., Jang, H.S., Park, J.S., 2001. New cationic liposomes for gene transfer into mammalian cells with high efficiency and low toxicity. Bioconjug. Chem. 12, 108–113.
- Eliyahu, H., Makovitzki, A., Azzam, T., Zlotkin, A., Joseph, A., Gazit, D., Barenholz, Y., Domb, A.J., 2005. Novel dextran-spermine conjugates as transfecting agents: comparing water-soluble and micellar polymers. Gene Ther. 12, 494–503.
- Felgner, J.H., Kumar, R., Sridhar, C.N., Wheeler, C.J., Tsai, Y.J., Border, R., Ramsey, P., Martin, M., Felgner, P.L., 1994. Enhanced gene delivery and mechanism studies with a novel series of cationic lipid formulations. J. Biol. Chem. 269, 2550–2561.
- Felgner, P.L., Gadek, T.R., Holm, M., Roman, R., Chan, H.W., Wenz, M., Northrop, J.P., Ringold, G.M., Danielsen, M., 1987. Lipofection: a highly efficient, lipid-mediated DNA-transfection procedure. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 84, 7413–7417.
- Ghosh, Y.K., Visweswariah, S.S., Bhattacharya, S., 2002. Advantage of the ether linkage between the positive charge and the cholesteryl skeleton in cholesterolbased amphiphiles as vectors for gene delivery. Bioconjug. Chem. 13, 378–384. Haensler, J., Szoka Jr., F.C., 1993. Polyamidoamine cascade polymers mediate efficient
- transfection of cells in culture. Bioconjug. Chem. 4, 372–379.
- Heyes, J.A., Niculescu-Duvaz, D., Cooper, R.G., Springer, C.J., 2002. Synthesis of novel cationic lipids: effect of structural modification on the efficiency of gene transfer. J. Med. Chem. 45, 99–114.
- Hoekstra, D., Rejman, J., Wasungu, L., Shi, F., Zuhorn, I., 2007. Gene delivery by cationic lipids: in and out of an endosome. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 35, 68–71.
- Itaka, K., Yamauchi, K., Harada, A., Nakamura, K., Kawaguchi, H., Kataoka, K., 2003. Polyion complex micelles from plasmid DNA and poly(ethylene glycol)-poly(tlysine) block copolymer as serum-tolerable polyplex system: physicochemical properties of micelles relevant to gene transfection efficiency. Biomaterials 24, 4495–4506.
- Jeffs, L.B., Palmer, L.R., Ambegia, E.G., Giesbrecht, C., Ewanick, S., MacLachlan, I., 2005. A scalable, extrusion-free method for efficient liposomal encapsulation of plasmid DNA. Pharm. Res. 22, 362–372.
- Karmali, P.P., Kumar, V.V., Chaudhuri, A., 2004. Design, syntheses and in vitro gene delivery efficacies of novel mono-, di- and trilysinated cationic lipids: a structure-activity investigation. J. Med. Chem. 47, 2123–2132.
- Karmali, P.P., Majeti, B.K., Sreedhar, B., Chaudhuri, A., 2006. In vitro gene transfer efficacies and serum compatibility profiles of novel mono-, di-, and trihistidinylated cationic transfection lipids: a structure-activity investigation. Bioconjug. Chem. 17, 159–171.
- Kedika, B., Patri, S.V., 2011. Design, synthesis, and in vitro transfection biology of novel tocopherol based monocationic lipids: a structure-activity investigation. J. Med. Chem. 54, 548–561.
- Khalil, I.A., Kogure, K., Akita, H., Harashima, H., 2006. Uptake pathways and subsequent intracellular trafficking in nonviral gene delivery. Pharmacol. Rev. 58, 32–45.
- Koynova, R., Tenchov, B., 2009. Cationic phospholipids: structure-transfection activity relationships. Soft Matter 5, 3187–3200.
- Koynova, R., Wang, L., Tarahovsky, Y., MacDonald, R.C., 2005. Lipid phase control of DNA delivery. Bioconjug. Chem. 16, 1335–1339.
- Koynova, R.D., Tenchov, B.G., Quinn, P.J., 1989. Sugars favour formation of hexagonal (HII) phase at the expense of lamellar liquid-crystalline phase in hydrated phosphatidylethanolamines. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 980, 377–380.
- Kukowska-Latallo, J.F., Bielinska, A.U., Johnson, J., Spindler, R., Tomalia, D.A., Baker Jr., J.R., 1996. Efficient transfer of genetic material into mammalian cells using Starburst polyamidoamine dendrimers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 4897–4902.
- Leventis, R., Silvius, J.R., 1990. Interactions of mammalian cells with lipid dispersions containing novel metabolizable cationic amphiphiles. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1023, 124–132.

- Li, S., Huang, L., 2000. Nonviral gene therapy: promises and challenges. Gene Ther. 7, 31–34.
- Li, S.D., Huang, L., 2006. Gene therapy progress and prospects: non-viral gene therapy by systemic delivery. Gene Ther. 13, 1313–1319.Luciani, P., Bombelli, C., Colone, M., Giansanti, L., Ryhanen, S.J., Saily, V.M., Mancini,
- Luciani, P., Bombelli, C., Colone, M., Giansanti, L., Ryhanen, S.J., Saily, V.M., Mancini, G., Kinnunen, P.K., 2007. Influence of the spacer of cationic gemini amphiphiles on the hydration of lipoplexes. Biomacromolecules 8, 1999–2003.
- Ma, B., Zhang, S., Jiang, H., Zhao, B., Lv, H., 2007. Lipoplex morphologies and their influences on transfection efficiency in gene delivery. J. Control. Release 123, 184–194.
- Majeti, B.K., Singh, R.S., Yadav, S.K., Bathula, S.R., Ramakrishna, S., Diwan, P.V., Madhavendra, S.S., Chaudhuri, A., 2004. Enhanced intravenous transgene expression in mouse lung using cyclic-head cationic lipids. Chem. Biol. 11, 427–437.
- Meyer, O., Roch, O., Elmlinger, D., Kolbe, H.V., 2000. Direct lipid quantitation of cationic liposomes by reversed-phase HPLC in lipoplex preparation process. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 50, 353–356.
- Miller, A.D., 1998. Cationic liposomes for gene therapy. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 1768–1785.
- Mintzer, M.A., Simanek, E.E., 2009. Nonviral vectors for gene delivery. Chem. Rev. 109, 259–302.
- Obata, Y., Saito, S., Takeda, N., Takeoka, S., 2009. Plasmid DNA-encapsulating liposomes: effect of a spacer between the cationic head group and hydrophobic moieties of the lipids on gene expression efficiency. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1788, 1148–1158.
- Obata, Y., Suzuki, D., Takeoka, S., 2008. Evaluation of cationic assemblies constructed with amino acid based lipids for plasmid DNA delivery. Bioconjug. Chem. 19, 1055–1063.
- Radler, J.O., Koltover, I., Salditt, T., Safinya, C.R., 1997. Structure of DNA-cationic liposome complexes: DNA intercalation in multilamellar membranes in distinct interhelical packing regimes. Science 275, 810–814.
- Rajesh, M., Sen, J., Srujan, M., Mukherjee, K., Sreedhar, B., Chaudhuri, A., 2007. Dramatic influence of the orientation of linker between hydrophilic and hydrophobic lipid moiety in liposomal gene delivery. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 11408–11420.
- Rao, N.M., Gopal, V., 2006. Cell biological and biophysical aspects of lipid-mediated gene delivery. Biosci. Rep. 26, 301–324.
- Sen, J., Chaudhuri, A., 2005. Design, syntheses, and transfection biology of novel noncholesterol-based guanidinylated cationic lipids. J. Med. Chem. 48, 812–820.
- Shimizu, T., 2003. Bottom-up synthesis and morphological control of high-axialratio nanostructures through molecular self-assembly. Polym. J. 35, 1.
- Simoes, S., Filipe, A., Faneca, H., Mano, M., Penacho, N., Duzgunes, N., de Lima, M.P., 2005. Cationic liposomes for gene delivery. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2, 237–254.
- Vigneron, J.P., Oudrhiri, N., Fauquet, M., Vergely, L., Bradley, J.C., Basseville, M., Lehn, P., Lehn, J.M., 1996. Guanidinium-cholesterol cationic lipids: efficient vectors for the transfection of eukaryotic cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 93, 9682–9686.
- Wagner, E., Zenke, M., Cotten, M., Beug, H., Birnstiel, M.L., 1990. Transferrin-polycation conjugates as carriers for DNA uptake into cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 87, 3410–3414.
- Wang, C., Li, X., Wettig, S.D., Badea, I., Foldvari, M., Verrall, R.E., 2007. Investigation of complexes formed by interaction of cationic gemini surfactants with deoxyribonucleic acid. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 9, 1616–1628.
- Wiethoff, C.M., Koe, J.G., Koe, G.S., Middaugh, C.R., 2004. Compositional effects of cationic lipid/DNA delivery systems on transgene expression in cell culture. J. Pharm. Sci. 93, 108–123.
- Yamada, N., Ariga, K., Naito, M., Matsubara, K., Koyama, E., 1998. Regulation of β-sheet structures within amyloid-like β-sheet assemblage from tripeptide derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 12192–12199.
- You, J., Kamihira, M., Iijima, S., 1999. Enhancement of transfection efficiency by protamine in DDAB lipid vesicle-mediated gene transfer. J. Biochem. 125, 1160–1167.
- Zantl, R., Baicu, L., Artzner, F., Sprenger, I., Rapp, G., R\u00e4dler, J.O., 1999. Thermotropic phase behavior of cationic lipid–DNA complexes compared to binary lipid mixtures. J. Phys. Chem. B 103, 10300–10310.
- Zhao, Q.Q., Chen, J.L., Lv, T.F., He, C.X., Tang, G.P., Liang, W.Q., Tabata, Y., Gao, J.Q., 2009. N/P ratio significantly influences the transfection efficiency and cytotoxicity of a polyethylenimine/chitosan/DNA complex. Biol. Pharm. Bull. 32, 706–710.