

Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B 146 (1998) 572-576



# Vortex dynamics in $Bi_{2+x}Sr_{2-(x+y)}La_yCuO_{6+\delta}$ and $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_y$ irradiated with heavy-ions: correlation between the Bose-glass behavior and the coupling of pancake vortices

N. Kuroda <sup>a,d,\*</sup>, N. Ishikawa <sup>a</sup>, S. Okayasu <sup>a</sup>, A. Iwase <sup>a</sup>, H. Ikeda <sup>b</sup>, R. Yoshizaki <sup>b</sup>, T. Kambara <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai-mura, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

<sup>b</sup> Cryogenics Center, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki 305-0000, Japan

<sup>c</sup> Atomic Physics Laboratory, The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), Wako-shi, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

<sup>d</sup> Japan Science and Technology Corporation, Kawaguchi-shi, Saitama 332-0000, Japan

#### Abstract

Vortex dynamics is investigated in  $Bi_{2+x}Sr_{2-(x+y)}La_yCuO_{6+\delta}$  (Bi-2201) and  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_y$  (Bi-2212) irradiated with 3.5 GeV <sup>136</sup>Xe<sup>31+</sup> ions through the relation between the frequency and the loss peak temperature in ac susceptibility in order to seek the Bose-glass transition. The Bose-glass behavior is observed at fields below about half of matching filed,  $B_{\phi}/2$  in irradiated Bi-2212. On the contrary to irradiated Bi-2212, the Bose-glass behavior is not observed in irradiated Bi-2201. This is due to the extremely weak coupling of pancake vortices along the *c* axis in Bi-2201 in spite of the presence of columnar defects. The pancake vortices in Bi-2201 irradiated with heavy-ions are almost decoupled, resulting in the occurrence of thermally activated flux flow or creep. © 1998 Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* AC susceptibility; Loss peak; Bose-glass; Coupling of pancake vortices; Bi-2201 single crystal; Bi-2212 single crystal

## 1. Introduction

It is now well accepted that the introduction of columnar defects by swift heavy-ion irradiation can considerably enhance the pinning properties of high-temperature superconductors [1,2]. For a system of vortex *lines* in the presence of columnar defects, the theory developed by Nelson and Vinokur [3] predicts the existence of a Boseglass phase at temperature  $T < T_{BG}$  where vortex lines are localized on or between the columnar defects. For "3D" material of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7- $\delta$ </sub>, an experimental evidence of a second-order Boseglass transition was provided by Jiang et al. [4] through the critical scaling of the frequency-dependent ac resistivity. In contrast to a 3D vortex

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-29-282-5472; fax: +81-29-282-6716; e-mail: kuroda@popsvr.tokai.jaeri.go.jp

<sup>0168-583</sup>X/98/\$ – see front matter  $\odot$  1998 Published by Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved. PII: S 0 1 6 8 - 5 8 3 X ( 9 8 ) 0 0 4 5 6 - X

system, a glass transition is difficult in a 2D vortex system, due to the occurrence of a plastic creep [5]. The existence of the Bose-glass transition in a 2D vortex system requires the effective "3D" coupling among pancake vortices through the columnar defects. For "2D" material of  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_y$ , the Bose-glass transition is complicated [6]. Nonlinear onset of ac response does not correspond to the phase boundary of the Bose-glass phase [7]. On the contrary, the power-law-like behavior, observed in *ab*-plane and *c* axis resistivity indicates the existence of the Bose-glass transition in Bi-2212 defected by columnar pins [8,9].

In the present paper, we investigate the vortex dynamics in Bi-2201 and Bi-2212 in the presence of columnar defects through the frequency dependence of the loss peak temperature in the imaginary part of susceptibility  $(\chi'')$  in order to evaluate the Bose-glass transition.

### 2. Experimental

 $Bi_{2+x}Sr_{2-(x+y)}La_{y}CuO_{6+\delta}$  (Bi-2201) ( $T_{c}\approx 20$  K) and  $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_v$  (Bi-2212) ( $T_c \approx 90$  K) single crystals were grown by the traveling solvent floating zone (TSFZ) method. The characteristics of these single crystals are described in Refs. [10-12]. crystal Bi-2201 with dimensions The of  $2.9(l) \times 1.4(w) \times 0.096(t)$  mm<sup>3</sup> and the Bi-2212 crystal with dimensions of  $2.0(l) \times 2.0(w) \times 0.082(t)$  mm<sup>3</sup> were irradiated with 3.5 GeV <sup>136</sup>Xe<sup>31+</sup>ions at RI-KEN Ring Cyclotron Facility to introduce columnar defects along the sample *c*-axis. This type of irradiation has previously been shown to produce continuous amorphous tracks of diameter  $\approx 6$  nm in Bi-2212 [13]. In all cases, the total pin density was  $1.2 \times 10^{11}$ /cm<sup>2</sup>, which corresponds to a dose-equivalent matching field  $B_{\phi} = 2.4$  T. The irradiation reduced  $T_c$  by 5 K for Bi-2201, and 3 K for Bi-2212.

The temperature dependence of susceptibility was measured under the ac field (the amplitude  $H_{ac} = 0.5 \text{ Oe}$ ) and dc field ( $0 \text{ T} \leq B_{ex} \leq 5 \text{ T}$ ) applied parallel to the *c*-axis. The dc irreversibility temperature was determined by resolving the collapse of zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetization using a commercial SQUID magnetometer.

## 3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1(a) and (b) illustrate the temperature dependence of susceptibility before and after the irradiation for Bi-2201 and Bi-2212, respectively. The loss peak in  $\chi''$  shifts to higher temperature with the irradiation, as shown by the arrows. The shift is small for Bi-2201, due to the large reduction of  $T_c$  of 5 K by the irradiation which corresponds 25% of  $T_c$  before irradiation.

Within a linear response, the loss peak of  $\chi''$  appears at  $T_p$ , satisfying a condition that the resistivity becomes  $\rho_{ab} = 0.225\pi wt\mu_0 f$  [14]. Here w is the width of the sample, t the thickness, and f the frequency of the ac field. This condition is repre-



Fig. 1. The temperature dependence of the susceptibility (a) for Bi-2201 ( $B_{ex} = 0.02$  T,  $H_{ac} = 0.5$  Oe, f = 5 kHz) and (b) for Bi-2212 ( $B_{ex} = 4.0$  T,  $H_{ac} = 0.5$  Oe, f = 5 kHz) before and after the 3.5 GeV <sup>136</sup>Xe<sup>31+</sup> ion irradiation. Arrows show the loss peak in the temperature dependence of the imaginary part of the susceptibility ( $\chi''$ ).

sented as  $\omega \tau \approx 1$ , if the characteristic relaxation time of the sample  $\tau$  is taken as  $wt/\pi^2(\rho_{ab}/\mu_0)$ . Because the amplitude of ac field of 0.5 Oe used in the experiments was sufficiently low, the ac response was almost linear at  $T_p$  with a noise-level third-harmonic response. Therefore,  $\omega \tau \approx 1$  holds at  $T_{\rm p}$ . For a Bose-glass transition, the relaxation time of the sample  $\tau$  diverges as  $(T-T_{BG})^{-n}$  toward a Bose-glass temperature  $T_{BG}$ . The relation between f and  $T_p$  is, then,  $f \sim (T_p - T_{BG})^n$  in the same way as the temperature dependence of resistivity  $\rho \sim (T - T_{BG})^n$  which vanishes at  $T_{BG}$ . For a Boseglass transition, Monte Carlo simulations of a vortex loop model yield estimates of 3.5-4.5 for the n = v'(z'-2) value [15]. On the other hand, when the thermally-assisted flux flow or creep prevails in the vortex motion,  $\tau \sim \exp(U/T)$  and, therefore, an Arrhenius-like behavior  $f \sim \exp(-U/U)$  $T_{\rm p}$ ) appears with an activation energy U. In unirradiated Bi-2201 and Bi-2212, an Arrehenius-like behavior is usually observed.

Fig. 2 shows Arrhenius plots for the frequency and  $T_p$  for Bi-2212 after the Xe irradiation. For  $B_{\rm ex} \leq 1.5$  T, Arrhenius-like behavior  $f \sim \exp(-U/T_p)$  is observed with the activation energy U of 5173 K at 1.5 T, and 3698 K at 2.0 T. For



Fig. 2. Arrhenius plots for the frequency and  $T_p$  for Bi-2212 after the Xe irradiation ( $B_{\phi} = 2.4$  T) at several dc external fields  $B_{\text{ex}}$ .

 $B_{\rm ex} \leq 1.25$  T, the deviation from Arrhenius-like behavior is, however, apparent. The Bose-glass behavior  $f \sim (T_p - T_{BG})^n$  is obvious instead, as shown in the inset of Fig. 3. The existence of the Bose-glass behavior indicates that the pancake vortices are well coupled in Bi-2212 irradiated with heavy ions. The value of *n* extracted from the fit is in the range of from 2.4 to 4.3 and very close to the estimates of the simulation for a Bose-glass transition [15]. In Fig. 3, the Bose-glass temperature  $T_{\rm BG}$  is plotted in  $B_{\rm ex}$ -T plane, together with the dc irreversibility line (DC IL). There is a clear crossover in DC IL characterized by the appearance of a kink at about  $B_{\phi}/2$  which separates low and high-field regimes of DC IL, as previously reported [6,16]. Another slight kink is observed near  $B_{\phi}$ . The Bose-glass transition is observed only below the crossover field,  $B_{\rm ex} \leq 1.25$  T ( $\approx B_{\Phi}/2$ ), and the DC IL almost corresponds to the Boseglass transition line.



Fig. 3. The Bose-glass temperatures are plotted in the magnetic field  $(B_{ex})$  versus temperature (*T*) phase diagram, together with the dc irreversibility line (DC IL). The inset shows the relation between the frequency and  $T_p$  at dc fields  $B_{ex} = 0.2$ , 0.5, 0.75 and 1.25 T for Bi-2212 after the Xe irradiation  $(B_{\phi} = 2.4 \text{ T})$ . The lines are fits to the data using the relation of  $f \sim (T_p - T_{BG})^n$ . The values of the exponent *n* are 2.4, 3.3, 4.3 and 3.3 for  $B_{ex} = 0.2$ , 0.5, 0.75 and 1.25 T, respectively.

Fig. 4 illustrates Arrhenius plots for the frequency and  $T_p$  for Bi-2201 after the Xe irradiation. On the contrary to the results for Bi-2212, the Arrehenius-like behavior  $f \sim \exp(-U/T_p)$  is observed for 0.2 T  $\leq B_{ex} \leq 1.5$  T without the Boseglass behavior. In the inset of Fig. 4, the activation energy U is plotted as a function of  $B_{ex}$  for Bi-2201 after the Xe irradiation. The activation energy is much lower than that observed in irradiated Bi-2212 about 5000 K at 1.5 T. The value of U depends on  $B_{ex}$  below 1.0 T, while, above 1.0 T, it is almost constant about 100 K. There is a crossover at 1.0 T about  $B_{\phi}/2$  (the arrow in the inset of Fig. 4) as observed in Bi-2212. The value of U of 100 K is comparable to the pinning energy of a pancake vortex by a columnar defect,  $U_{2D} = (\Phi_0^2 d/$  $4\pi\mu_0\lambda_{ab}^2$ ln( $R/\xi_{ab}$ ) ( $\approx$ 44 K). Here R = 3.0 nm is the radius of the columnar defect,  $\xi_{ab} = 1.5$  nm the coherence length,  $\lambda_{ab} = 200$  nm the penetration depth and d=0.13 nm the thickness of superconducting plane for Bi-2201. The absence of the strong field dependence U indicates that the single vortex creep or plastic creep takes place above 1.0 T, that is,  $R_{\perp} < d_r$  above 1.0 T, where  $R_{\perp}$  is the dimension of the vortex bundle transverse to the



Fig. 4. Arrhenius plots for the frequency and  $T_p$  for Bi-2201 after the Xe irradiation ( $B_{\phi} = 2.4$  T) at several dc fields  $B_{ex}$ . The inset shows the dc magnetic field dependence of the activation energy U.

direction of the vortex creep and  $d_r$  is the mean spacing between columns. Therefore, the activation energy comes from the depinning of singlevortex line for 1.0 T  $\leq B_{ex} \leq B_{\phi}$ . Above 1.0 T, the effective depinning length defined as  $U/U_p$  [17] is about 0.3 nm, comparable to the layer spacing, where  $U_{\rm p} = (\Phi_0^2/4\pi\mu_0\lambda_{ab}^2)\ln(R/\xi_{ab})$ . This indicates that pancake vortices in Bi-2201 irradiated with heavy-ions are almost decoupled. Thus, columnar defects are not effective for coupling pancake vortices in Bi-2201, as opposed to the case in Bi-2212. As a result, the Bose-glass phase does not exist in the irradiated Bi-2201 in spite of the lower thermal energy (lower  $T_c$ ) which suppresses thermal fluctuations and assists the appearance of the Bose-glass phase.

Why is the coupling between pancake vortices in Bi-2201 weaker than that in Bi-2212? A pair of pancake vortices interact with each other via the magnetic coupling and the Josephson coupling between successive layers. The number of  $CuO_2$ planes in a half unit cell is different between Bi-2201 and Bi-2212. So, the thickness of superconducting plane of Bi-2201 about Cu ion radius (≈1 Å) is shorter than that of Bi-2212 ( $\approx 3$  Å). Pancake vortices in Bi-2201 is, therefore, expected to have weaker coupling along the c axis than those in Bi-2212, taking into account the magnetic coupling between two pancake vortices  $E_{\rm m} \sim (\Phi_0^2/4\pi\mu_0\lambda_{ab}^2)d^2/$  $\lambda_{ab}^2$ , where d is the thickness of superconducting plane. The difference in Josephson coupling between these materials is believed to be small when the samples have a value of  $T_c$  close to the optimal one because, at that case, the values of electronic anisotropy  $\rho_{ab}/\rho_c$  of these materials are the same order of about  $10^{-5}$  at the onset of the superconductivity [10,11].

#### 4. Conclusion

The relation between the frequency and the loss peak temperature shows the Bose-glass behavior at the dc fields below about half of the matching field in Bi-2212 irradiated with 3.5 GeV Xe ions. The absence of the Bose-glass behavior and the observed low activation energy in Bi-2201 in spite of the introduction of columnar defects are ascribed to the extremely weak coupling of pancake vortices in this system. The difference between Bi-2201 and Bi-2212 in the existence of the Bose-glass behavior seems to come from the difference in the strength of the magnetic coupling between pancake vortices.

#### References

- L. Civale, A.D. Marewick, T.K. Worthington, M.A. Kirk, J.R. Thompson, L. Krusin-Elbaum, Y. Sun, J.R. Clem, F. Holtzberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 648.
- [2] W. Gerhäuser, G. Ries, H.W. Neumüller, W. Schmidt, O. Eibl, G. Saemann-Ischenko, S. Klaumünzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 879.
- [3] D.R. Nelson, V.M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. B 48 (1993) 13060.
- [4] W. Jiang, N.-C. Yeh, D.S. Reed, U. Kriplani, D.A. Beam, M. Konczykowski, T.A. Tombrello, F. Holtzberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 550.
- [5] V.M. Vinokur, P.H. Kes, A.E. Koshelev, Physica C 248 (1995) 179.

- [6] D. Zech, S.L. Lee, H. Keller, G. Blatter, P.H. Kes, T.W. Li, Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 6129.
- [7] C.J. van der Beek, M. Konczykowski, V.M. Vinokur, T.W. Li, P.H. Kes, G.W. Crabtree, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 1214.
- [8] W.S. Seow, R.A. Doyle, A.M. Campbell, G. Balakrishnan, K. MaK. Paul, K. Kadowaki, G. Wirth, Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 14611.
- [9] L. Miu, P. Wagner, A. Hadish, F. Hillmer, H. Adrian, J. Wiesner, G. Wirth, Phys. Rev. B 51 (1995) 3953.
- [10] R. Yoshizaki, H. Ikeda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1996) 1533.
- [11] D.S. Jeon, M. Akamatsu, H. Ikeda, R. Yoshizaki, Physica C 253 (1995) 102.
- [12] R. Yoshizaki, H. Ikeda, L.X. Chen, M. Akamatsu, Physica C 224 (1994) 121.
- [13] N. Nishida, S. Kaneba, Y. Ono, H. Sakata, K. Satoh, T. Kambara, Y. Awaya, RIKEN Accel. Prog. Rep. 30 (1997) 101.
- [14] E.H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 2821.
- [15] M. Wallin, S.M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B 47 (1993) 14642.
- [16] L. Krusin-Elbaum, L. Civale, G. Blatter, A.D. Marwick, F. Holtzberg, C. Field, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 1914.
- [17] E.H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 1105.